

Steven Louis Barnes,)	C/A No. 5:11-1156-MBS-KDW
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	ORDER
Lt. Mark Howard,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

Plaintiff has yet to file a response to Defendant's motion for summary judgment. Although Plaintiff filed a motion requesting that he be permitted to continue discovery prior to responding to Defendant's motion, ECF No. 43, that motion did not provide any substantive response to Defendant's motion. Further, the court has now denied that motion. *See* ECF No. 94.

As originally noted in the court's *Roseboro* Order of September 2, 2011, Plaintiff is again reminded that if he fails to respond adequately to the Defendant's motion, the court may grant the Defendant's motion, which may end Plaintiff's case. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this

case and to file a response to Defendant's motion for summary judgment by **May 21, 2012**. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 2, 2012
Florence, South Carolina

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Kaymani D. West". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Kaymani D. West
United States Magistrate Judge